State of Nevada Division of Environmental Protection State Revolving Loan Fund Program

Checklist for Facilities Plan Review

Project Name:		Projec	ct No	
Revi	iewer:	Date:_		
Yes/Adequate = X		No/Inadequate = O	Not Applicable = N/A	
A.	Determination of Comm	nunity's Responsibility	L	
	•	on and adequate faciliti	e, technical qualifications, es to carry out the project rated ability to obtain	
	Does the community h completion of projects		rmance record for	
В.	Project Need and Plann	ing Area Identification	1	
	1. Is the map and narrative with the 208 plan or the	ve description of the pla e 319 plan (non-point s	•	
C.	Existing Conditions			
	1. Does the facility plan of	describe the following e	nvironmental conditions?	
	a. Surface and gr	ound water hydrology (quantity, quality & use)	
	b. Physiography,	topography, geology ar	nd soils	
	c. Precipitation, te	emperature and prevaili	ng winds	
	d. Terrestrial and	aquatic plants, animals	& natural communities	
	e. Air quality and	noise	_	
	f. Energy supplies	s and consumption		

g. Land use and development	
h. Public facilities and services	
I. Related federal, state & other projects in the planning area	
 j. Existing water quality and public health problems caused by inadequate wastewater treatment and disposal practices 	
2. Is a map included which depicts the following?	
a. Wetlands	
b. Flood plains	
c. Prime agricultural lands	
d. Environmentally sensitive areas	
e. Recreational areas	
f. Archaeological/historical sites	
g. Wild and scenic rivers	
h. Endangered species	
3. Existing wastewater flows and treatment systems	
Does the facility plan provide an inventory of existing wastewater character and treatment facilities including areas served by onsite systems which are	
a. Major influent characteristics (including toxic pollutants)	
 b. The location of industrial and municipal treatment plants, sludge management areas and facilities, pretreatment plants, pumping stations, and sewer service areas 	
c. A description of these facilities, including design capabilities existing flows, characteristics of wastes, discharge permits and overload conditions as well as past violations.	
d. Locations of significantly developed areas served by onsite systems	

Page	3
------	---

D.

e. A discussion and analysis of average and peak flows	
f. Locations of bypasses and overflows	
g. The extent of any combined sewer system	
h. A description of flow-reduced programs in effect	
4. Effluent limitations Have all applicable effluent limitations for all discharges and al discharge permits issued to existing facilities in the planning area been identified?	
5. Infiltration and Inflow (I/I)	
a. Does excessive I/I exist?	
b. Has it been identified and quantified?	
c. Is it cost effective to eliminate excessive I/I?	
Future Conditions	
Are population projections in the planning area during the next 20 years consistent with State projections?	
Does reserve capacity projection exceed 20 years?	
Have land use plans been coordinated with the plan?	
4. Flow projections:	
a. Has average daily base flow been determined by multi- plying existing per capita flows by projected population?	
Are seasonal and day use visitors included?	
Have flows from future industrial growth been considered?	

Page 4

	5. Flow reduction:
	a. Are full -scale flow reduction measures necessary?
	b. Are estimates of implementable flow reductions provided?
	c. Are costs of proposed flow reduction measures addressed?
	d. Do cost savings caused by flow reduction justify implementation of a program?
	e. Does the facility plan recommend implementation of a flow reduction program?
E.	Analysis of alternatives considered
	Were the following alternatives considered:
	1. No action
	Upgrade the operation and maintenance of the existing system as an alternative or supplement to construction of new facilities
	Regional management and physical consolidation of systems
	Conventional collection system
	a. Has the community provided assurance that the existing population will connect to the system within a reasonable time after project completion?
	b. Does the proposed collection system serve an existing community?
	Biological or physical-chemical treatment and discharge to surface waters
	6. Land application
	a. Potential land treatment sites evaluated:
	1. Land use area
	2 Soils identified

		3.	Geology acceptable	
		4.	Topography described	
		5.	Vegetation identified	
			Surface and groundwater hydrology described	
	b.		ading rates and land area values agree with established s in State design criteria?	
	C.		ne level of pre-treatment prior to land application conform State standards?	
		emph	the environmental evaluation of the land treatment system asize quality and quantity of surface and ground water irces, energy conservation, pollutant recycling and compat?	
	e.	Are st	orage needs realistic?	
	f.	Will the	ere be any water rights problems?	
g.	Have	any re	venues produced been considered in costs?	
	h.	Have	any lease arrangements, if any, been considered?	
	I.	Are O	&M costs shown realistic?	
8.	Small	alterna	ative wastewater systems	
	a.	Were	any of the following systems evaluated?	
		1.	Septic tanks and drain fields	
		2.	Small diameter sewers	
		3.	Subsurface disposal or mound systems	
		4.	Cluster systems	
		5.	Pressure or vacuum sewers	

Page 6

6. Privately owned (individual) system			
7	. Other		
-	vately owned on-site systems were evaluated, do any e following apply?		
1	. Is municipal access to the system assured?		
2	. Are total costs less than that of a conventional system?		
3	. Does the facility plan describe a management program to assure the applicant will properly operate and maintain the facility?		
4	. Is public ownership feasible?		
9. Slud	ge handling and disposal		
а	. Is an adequate overall sludge handling plan set forth in the facility plan?		
b	. Has any testing been done to determine the volume and characteristics of the sludge?		
С	. Is adequate sludge treatment proposed for the method of final disposal selected?		
d	. Has specific site or sites been selected, and are plans		
	developed for their acquisition and use?		
е	. Have potential odor problems or other environmental problems been adequately considered?		
f.	Does the plan adequately consider protection of the		
	groundwater against pollution?		
g	. Are test or monitoring wells needed?		
h	. Have soil characteristics on land application sites been adequately evaluated?		
l.	Are proposed rates of sludge application in line with good practice on land application sites?		

Page 7

	10. Other alternatives:	
	11. Have alternatives for reuse or ultimate disposal of treated wastewater and biosolids been evaluated?	
	12. Is a cost effectiveness analysis included?	
	13. Have open space & recreation opportunities been analyzed?	
F.	Evaluation of Principal Alternatives	
	Has a thorough analysis of the following been performed for the principal selected alternative?	
	1. Monetary costs	
	a. Have costs of future expansion and long term needs for reconstruction been estimated?	
	b. Have O&M costs been included in the annual equivalent cost or present worth cost comparison of alternatives?	
	2. Additional capacity	
	3. Capital financing plan - manner in which local costs will be financed	
	4. Environmental impacts	
	5. Water supply impacts	
	6. Energy requirements	
	7. Implementability	
	8. Comparison of alternatives included	

	9. Public participation	
	a. Was a public participation program a part of the facility planning process?	
	b. Were there small group sessions, public meetings and the public hearing?	
	c. Was there adequate notice prior to public meetings and the public hearing?	
	d. Was a public meeting held before the facility plan was formally adopted?	
	e. Was a responsiveness summary prepared?	
	10. Has the cost effectiveness analysis been performed?	
G.	Selected Plan	
	1. Is the selected plan the most cost effective means of meeting the applicable effluent, water quality and public health requirements while recognizing environmental and other non-monetary considerations?	
	2. Does the facility plan describe the selected treatment works and the complete wastewater treatment system in detail?	
	3. Does the facility plan justify the selected plan (if no, explain)	
	4. Has the community certified that it has the capability to finance and manage the building and operation of the project?	
	5. Does the facility plan include preliminary design data to include:	
	a. A description of the major features	
	b. Unit processes and sizes	
	c. A schematic flow diagram for treatment plants, and plant and pumping station siting	

	d.	Sewer length and sizes	
	e.	Proposed design criteria	
		Process loadings	
		Removal efficiencies	
		3. Design flow	
		4. Reserve capacity	
	f.	Schedule for completion of design and construction	
6.	(capita	the facility plan include an estimate of total project costs _ al costs, O&M costs) and average annual or monthly charges to ustomers?	
7.	Impler	mentation arrangements	
	a.	Where responsibility for the project rests with more than one agency, does the facility plan adequately demonstrate that each agency has the necessary legal, financial, institutional and managerial resources to ensure the building, operation and maintenance of the project and that an agreement between agencies has been or will be executed prior to application for financial assistance?	
	b.	Does the facility plan include specific actions to implement the plan and meet its objectives on schedule and do the dates in this schedule correspond to compliance dates specified in the discharge permits if applicable?	
	C.	Does the facility plan discuss operation and maintenance requirements (O&M budget, staffing, training, laboratory requirements, special maintenance requirements, special operating requirements, residuals disposal, etc.)?	

d. If a pre-treatment program is necessary, does the facility plan include a schedule of actions to implement such a program?
8. Does the facility plan address availability of the most suitable land for the project and an appropriate means to secure rights to the land?
Summary: